



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S) : Allan Maclean  
TITLE : SYSTEMS FOR PROCESSING  
ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS USING  
PHYSICAL DOCUMENTS  
APPLICATION NO. : 09/683,200  
FILED : November 30, 2001  
CONFIRMATION NO. : 3231  
EXAMINER : M. J. Ludwig  
ART UNIT : 2178  
ALLOWED : September 6, 2006  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : A1655-US-NP  
XERZ 2 01262

**RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Dear Sir:

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication as to the allowance of the present application.

However, applicants respectfully submit the Statements of Reasons for Allowance are, in and of themselves, inappropriate. It is noted that the reasons for allowance may be set forth in instances in which ". . . the Examiner believes that the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims." (37 CFR §1.104(e)(2004)). In the present case, applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and, therefore, no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, the applicants do not necessarily

agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.

Specifically, it has been indicated that the claims are allowed by importing interpretations into the claims in relation to the prior art that results in a potential imprecise and/or inaccurate understanding of the reasons. This places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims. Such a characterization of the claims does not properly take into account applicants' claimed invention as reflected in the specification and the applicants' responses to the Examiner's office actions.

Therefore, while applicants believe the claims are allowable, applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the features, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

September 14, 2006

Date

  
Kevin M. Dunn

Reg. No. 52,842  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579  
(216) 861-5582

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any item referred to herein as being attached or enclosed) is (are) being  
 deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10, addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.  
 transmitted to the USPTO by facsimile in accordance with 37 CFR 1.18 on the date indicated below.

Express Mail Label No.: EV 690738703 US	Signature: 
Date: 9-14-06	Name: Elaine M. Checovich



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S) : Allan Maclean  
TITLE : SYSTEMS FOR PROCESSING  
ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS USING  
PHYSICAL DOCUMENTS  
APPLICATION NO. : 09/683,200  
FILED : November 30, 2001  
CONFIRMATION NO. : 3231  
EXAMINER : M. J. Ludwig  
ART UNIT : 2178  
ALLOWED : September 6, 2006  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : A1655-US-NP  
XERZ 2 01262

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: EV 690738703 US

Date of Deposit: September 14, 2006

I hereby certify that the attached Fee(s) Transmittal Form, (Issue Fee, Publication Fee) Response to Statement of Reasons for Allowance and "Fee Address" Indication Form are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Elaine M. Checovich  
(Typed or Printed Name of Sender)

Elaine M. Checovich 9-14-06  
Signature Date